	TED STATES PATENT A	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22. www.uspto.gov	FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	PRINTED DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,149	01/19/2007	Mario Huesca	13198.0007U1	8922
23859 7590 01/28/2008 NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. SUITE 1000 999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915			EXAMINER	
			TEALE, MICHAEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, OZ	A 30307-3713		1611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/579,149	HUESCA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael J. Teale Ph.D.	1611			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
•	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 November 2007</u> .					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[_]	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 						
	• • –					
 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachme	nt(s)					
1) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I				
3) Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) rer No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

10/579,149 Art Unit: 1611

DETAILED ACTION

The previous office action, mailed 11/1/07, is hereby vacated and replaced with this office action. Previously mailed forms 892 and 1449 are presumed to be now previously communicated to applicants and therefore not duplicated herein.

Applicant is advised that "Use of" claims are not a U.S. statutory class of invention; thus, the claims are interpreted as method (or process) claims. Applicant is also advised that improper multiple dependent claims are present and require correction (for example claims 11 and 12).

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-12, 15, and 16 are drawn to methods of using compounds of formulae I-III, VI, VIII, and IX-XIII.

Group II, claims 13, 14, and 17-20 are drawn to uses of formulations, compositions and compounds of formulae I, VIII, and IX-XIII.

The inventions listed in Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special

Art Unit: 1611

technical feature for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Groups I-II are each a specific formulae by which are defined numerous and different formulations of compounds with different structures, modes of action, and effects. The special technical feature of Group I is a method of inhibiting neoplastic cell growth or proliferation, or treating cancer, which is not present in Groups II.

Species Election

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1) Compounds of formulae I-III, VI, or IX-XIII;
- 2) combination;
- 3) type of cancer.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species by:

Should applicant elect any one of Groups I, where a formulae I-III, VI, or IX-XIII is disclosed, applicant is required to define each and every variable of said formula such that a single disclosed compound is elected, or should applicant elect Group I applicant may elect a compound from those listed in claims 6 (claim 6: compounds 1-9, and 251-254); furthermore, applicant is to elect between treating cancer, or inhibiting neoplastic cell growth or proliferation, and if applicant elects treating cancer applicant is required to

10/579,149

Art Unit: 1611

elect a specific type of cancer (for example those listed in claims 8-10). In addition, applicant is required to elect one or more specific anti-cancer agent(s) (as claimed) such that a single disclosed combination species is elected,

Should applicant elect any one of Groups II, where a formulae I, VIII, or IX-XIII is disclosed, applicant is required to define each and every variable of said formula such that a single disclosed compound is elected, or may elect a compound from those listed in claim 18 (claim 18: compounds 85-254). In addition, applicant is required to elect one or more specific anti-cancer agent(s) (as claimed) such that a single disclosed combination species is elected,

to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: See Groups.

Application/Control Number:

10/579,149

Art Unit: 1611

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-20.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the various formulae, as well as, the combination of anticancer agents define numerous and different formulations of compounds with different structures, modes of action, and effects. The various types of cancers claimed represent different biological systems, requiring different methods of treatments.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim

10/579,149 Art Unit: 1611

will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is cautioned that election of a formulae I-III, VI, or IX-XIII compound, or anticancer agent which is not itself as elected specifically disclosed as filed may be considered New Matter.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and

Application/Control Number:

10/579,149 Art Unit: 1611

specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention. Furthermore the examiner may find if necessary to further restrict the elected invention once depending on applicant's election and the state of the associated art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Teale Ph.D. whose telephone number is (517)-272-6897. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 4:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on (571)-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/579,149 Art Unit: 1611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

////// MJT Freelers tags
Primary Examiner
Prob Und 16 Kb
FULL

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Organization TC 1 600 BldgR68hSEN United States Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 If Undeliverable Return in Ten Days

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300